[⇔]dsb

Next generation nødnett in commercial mobile networks?

- Mission possible?

PSCE Conference

Madrid 28th November, 2017

Hans Petter Naper Chief Engineer The Norwegian Directorate for Civil Protection







Nødnett is brand new. Why do we have to worry about Next Generation Nødnett already now?

- Nødnett is one of the most up-to-date TETRA PPDR networks in Europe, and will be used at least until 2026
 - Running costs and reinvestments in Nødnett for another 9 years
- Need to develop a PPDR BB system to run in parallel with Nødnett
 - Inadequate, expensive and uncoordinated solutions that do not support joint operations must be avoided
 - Need for BB data already today, MCPTT can come later
 - Lead time? UK started in 2011

The Next Generation Nødnett (NGN) shall operate for decades, after Nødnett



Why didn't we use PLMNs from the start?

- No support for MCPTT in the PLMNs until now (soon)
 - PTT in 2G/3G: Over-the-top, best-effort, poor performance
 - MCPTT will become possible with LTE i 3GPP Rel 13/14/...

Challenges with using public networks

- Capacity challenges
- Technological security challenges (SS7)
- Instances of massive outage (ex. HLR/HSS)
- Poor backup power
- Non-redundant transmission
- Coverage issues (getting better all the time!)
- Lack of national autonomy
- Bottom-line focus, providing cheap services, not mission-critical services



What shall NGN become?

- Initially a basic broadband solution, to be developed over time
- NGN shall support completely new services for PPDR users, as these become available
 - E.g. group communication with video and data, IoT
- NGN shall be based on standardised technology
 - 3GPP 4G, 5G
- DSB has been tasked by the Ministry of Justice and Public Security to study the feasibility of using commercial networks for future PPDR communication
- An NGN group within DSB has been established



Is Commercial Cellular Suitable for Mission Critical Broadband?

SCF Associates as tasked by Digital agenda Europa, EU commission, 2014

- (...) commercial LTE networks could support mission critical needs but only if certain conditions are met
 - These conditions would fundamentally change the operating environment for the commercial mobile networks



Dedicated network vs. commercial solution

- Today's Nødnett has a dedicated radio and core network, transmission is a mix of leased lines and dedicated radio links
 - The government has a long-term (until 2026) contract with Motorola Solutions for operations and maintenance of Nødnett
 - Nødnett security has been criticised
- «All of telecoms Norway» take for granted that the next Nødnett shall be realised in commercial networks
 - A future solution must satisfy absolute requirements from the users, the national security authority, and the telecommunication regulator
 - At the same time cost efficient solutions must be found



The significance of the 700 MHz band

A possible dedicated network requires access to low radio frequencies. 700 MHz is the only alternative the next 15 years.

- Nkom (the regulator) assumes that the 700 MHz band will be auctioned around EOY 2018. Strong commercial pull.
- It is urgent to find out if the NGN requirements can be satisfied by commercial operators, we need a clear view.
- A decision regarding 700 MHz and NGN in the short term will have huge long-term consequences.
- > The decision is probably irreversible.



A government doc. (2015–2016) – Digital agenda for Norway:

The Norwegian parliament supports:

- That the communication authorities, together with affected ministries, shall prepare for good communication solutions for the emergency agencies.
 - Work for a solution where the commercial networks to the largest extent possible carry the future services for the emergency agencies.



Nkom's recommendation to the Ministry of Transport and Communications

- Award the complete 2x30 MHz MFCN 700 MHz spectrum to commercial operators
- Facilitate NGN through electronic communication laws and regulations
- The government procures a service to cater for the needs of PPDR and military users from commercial players



Joint DSB / Nkom "memorandum" conclusion:

- A decision on how to conceptually realize NGN, should be made in parallel with a decision regarding the 700 MHz band.
- It will be a clear advantage to reach an NGN decision soon, so that the 700 MHz band can be auctioned and taken into use.
- When choosing a solution for NGN, there must at the same time be an intention on how the solution shall be realized financially, in order to satisfy the needs for coverage, functionality, robustness and security.

This is very much in accordance with PSCE's policy review letter to BEREC of March 2017



NGN in commercial networks?

PPDR usage

Networks for all needs

60-70.000

Special subscriptions in mobile network(s)

Unique requirements: Reserved capacity for PPDR users Instant connection Group communication Robust No eavesdropping

Cost? Who pays?



NGN in commercial networks

Assumptions

- Technically possible with 4G
- 4G coverage will become at least as good as Nødnett today (Nkom)
- Robustness <u>can be</u> increased by using e-comm laws + government programs
- Availability <u>can be</u> improved with increased robustness + national roaming
- Security <u>can be</u> strengthened by applying security legislation and regulation

Do we have a clear view?

- Threats are developing and changing
- Will the solution be adequate in the long term?
- Will the solution become secure enough?
- How to avoid pulverization of responsibility?



Someone must take an overall responsibility to ensure that the solution becomes "good enough" for all stakeholders

> Commercial mobile networks and operational models (Ministry of Transport and Communication)

NGN requirements on functionality, security and robustness (6-7 ministries)





Experience:

Commercial mobile networks Special functionality and adaptations with commercial potential

- Robustness
- Security
- Not spots
- AGA
- National autonomy
- ...



Commercial networks are OK if this is taken care of

Priority

- Specified by 3GPP
 - Who, when?



Coverage

- Rural areas
- AGA
- Transportable base stations



۲

1

Functionality

- Local autonomy
- Direct communication

Aligned operations

- Users must know the actual coverage situation
- Approval of planned work



Robustness

- Backup power
- Redundant transmission
- National roaming

Ubiquitous security

- Access control
- Physical protection
- Security cleared personnel
- Information handling
- Ownership
- Equipment vendors
- Sub contractors
- National independence





QoS step 1: Prioritisation in LTE

- QoS Class identifier (QCI)
 - Defines rules for prioritisation of data packets in a node (e.g. base station)
 - MCPTT signalling and user data has the highest priority level
 - Can be changed dynamically



QoS step 2: Release of capacity (pre-emption)

ARP – Allocation and Retention Priority

- Services (users) can be assigned ARP levels 1-15, where 1 is highest priority
- Services with higher ARP level can pre-empt services with lower ARP level
- Levels 1-8 can be assigned to services for PPDR users or e.g. business critical users





QoS step 3: Avoid too many users accessing the mobile networks simultaneously





In heavy load situations, the network can instruct users with (randomly selected) access classes in the 0-9 range to refrain from accessing the network





Current activity: RFI process

- DSB's NGN group is working on an RFI to be sent to Telenor, Telia and ICE, to be issued December 8th, mid-January responses
- Understand the mobile networks designs
- Robustness, SLA levels, weak points
- Security in technology as well as organisation
- PPDR functionality
- Utilize national roaming?



- PPDR users demand and deserve broadband
- Questionable if 2x10 MHz is enough
- A dedicated 4G PPDR network not able to cover all evolving needs
- 700 MHz spectrum too valuable for PPDR only
- Face it: 700 MHz is in the process of becoming awarded to commercial networks pan Europe
- Luckily: UK, USA and Korea lead the way



- Introduction of public safety communication in commercial networks is a cultural change
- Not simple! Not cheap!
- More players than before must be involved
- Challenging to define a good process with a precisely placed responsibility structure
- Norway's NGN group searches for a clear view

